

Dear Sir/Madam

I know of a woman in Adelaide who cannot bare the weight of being subjected to another year of post separation abuse, dv by proxy.

When she tried to leave the relationship, he started proceedings in the family court. He controlled and blackmailed her for time with her children. The children were begging not to go back to him and they disclosed abuse. The mother reported every time something happened and the police and Dcp filed the cases because, the father made numerous false reports about the mums mental health and influenced authorities to believe that they were false allegations to better the mothers position in family court.

However, despite the evidence the mother had to support the allegations, the matter was never permitted to go to a criminal trial.

The Mother battled a bias judge self represented most of the time. Bravely the mother attempted to have Justice [REDACTED] recuse herself, however she sat as the Judge for that application and to no surprise did not see herself as bias.

This judge has covered up the corruption of a family report writer at the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] who was asked to meet with the children and who chose to omit all of the children's disclosures of horrific abuse after being recorded by the children during the session saying, 'how brave they were and that she would protect them.'(recording of session available)

Justice [REDACTED] was made aware and her answer to this was to threaten the mother with criminal action and removed the mothers evidence off the file. Seemingly as punishment, she then ordered DCP to investigate the children in the mothers care even those not

subjected to proceedings. The investigation from DCP was based on all the father's influence. The father had multiple private discussions via email and text with [REDACTED] who only permitted the mother one home visit. (Emails and texts available to view) (letter of complaint to [REDACTED])

It was not surprising to the mother that [REDACTED] a 23 yr old who was considered a 'Senior investigator by the department', with no life experience was not able to see that the father was influencing her views or how much suffering the children and the mother were enduring.

The mother sought the help of multiple government members who passed the buck to the next minister or government agency.

Then in [REDACTED] the ombudsman investigated DCP of his own Initiative and found that they were negligent in the handling of the children's disclosures. Despite this little sense of justice, DCP didn't act. They labeled the children's letters to their therapist containing horrid disclosures of violence, rape, and bestiality, by the father as 'emotional distress' and closed the case. Stating it would be too harmful to the children to interview them.

A botched trial took place in the family court in [REDACTED]. The mother was threatened in the back room of the family court by the children's barrister [REDACTED] that she could not fight to protect all three of her children or she would lose them all.

The youngest child was refusing contact with the father because of the abuse so as punishment to the mother he retained the other two children and coached them to repeat his narrative. A recording of this coaching was presented to the court and consistent with comments made in [REDACTED] [REDACTED] family report. However, this evidence was ignored nor did it appear in Justice [REDACTED] horribly inconsistent Judgement.

The Mother was forced to choose between one child or none at all.

She was told to forget the abuse had occurred. However it is hard to forget something that is still happening behind court doors.

Justice [REDACTED] did not want the matter to go to trial, she made the parties go out and try settle multiple times. The father also reports being threatened by [REDACTED] barrister of the children's lawyer. The father's fury and need to punish the mother would not allow him to settle and he pushed for a trial to take all the children from the mother.

This trial was made up of three short days. No witnesses were allowed to be called. Limited evidence was permitted to be admitted to the book of documents. Senior counsel advised the mother that the decision was already made, and that the trial was only happening to give the father what he wanted, his day in court !

The Judgement and final orders were handed down in December of 2022. To no surprise of the mother the Judgement was harsh calling the mother not credible and discrediting any allegation of abuse.

Justice [REDACTED] reported the father as a credible witness but inconsistently states, he was making things up as he went along. She has minimised the father's abusive behaviours labelling him as ' rigid and controlling' even after seeing the clear aggression he presented with on the stand at trial.

The father had no intention of honouring the orders which later led to one of the children running away from his care. The mass of scathing unfair comments made by Justice [REDACTED] were then used by the father to further his abuse in the civil proceedings.

Whilst these proceedings in the family court came to an end in December [REDACTED], the father started civil proceedings against the mother seemingly as a continuation of Dv by proxy, suing her for [REDACTED] dollars for Defamation. A year later this claim was struck out so he amended his claim to [REDACTED] million dollars and moved us in front of another judge to the District Court.

He claims that the [REDACTED] IVOs police have issued on an interim basis from 2016-2021 which were later withdrawn, were malicious and have caused him psychological harm. However, the fathers strong influence and meddling with authorities has meant that the children and their mother have never been taken seriously and they have suffered horrifically. The fathers constant false reports about the mothers mental health, publishing explicit photos of her and degrading her to authorities or anyone considering helping her, has meant that these allegations have never made it to be prosecuted in a criminal court.

The father was reported sharing his tactics in men's activist g groups. Telling other accused men how to escape accountability and prosecute in civil proceedings. This information as-well as a multitude of other posts were shown to the courts and Justice [REDACTED] ignored the clear breaches of the Family law act. (Pictures available)

The fathers use of the civil court system is a new tactic that is emerging in South Australia. Similar to the case Of Ms Brittany Higgins and Bruce Lehrman . (Defamation for false allegations)

Sadly the father has sought out another father online who has made an identical claim, in a group called Fathers Rights Australia and it seems they are teaming up against the two mothers who only ever reported the abuse to police and sought protection. (Pictures available)

It's disturbing that the systems and authorities claiming to protect the mother and children from abuse are the same systems betraying them over and over again.

This mother is still in civil proceedings, she is broken and at the end of what little strength she has left.

She has no legal aid and 6 pro bono lawyers have quit because the bullying and harassment the fathers displays, whereby he threatens them with misconduct allegations. (Emails of threats available)

The father is highly conflictual and does not like anyone helping the mother or children. (Evidence available showing the fathers public attack of the children's therapist, emails and pictures)

The mother has tried to plead with legal aid, the reasons for needing a legal representative. There are no provisions in civil proceedings preventing cross examination in cases of domestic violence. .

Therefore, the mother has no choice but to stand a metre apart from the man who abused her and her children, in a small room and try her best not to be triggered and cry whilst trying to defend this.

Understandably, the mother has broken down during proceedings as a result of the father lashing out in anger. He was reprimanded by

however, he was quick to was label his anger as frustration. (Transcript available)

It's so disempowering to the mother and the children, two of which are now adults who were brave enough to speak out, that they now, whilst trying to heal from his abuse, should be subjected to cross examination or questioning by the man who abused them.

Or that they should have to endure being in that small room with there abuser listening to him say that he never abused them and they are lying.

No victim of a crime as horrendous as theirs , whether prosecuted or not should never have to experience the unjust process the father is forcing them to endure.

The list of authorities and ministers who have been documented so far as being contacted.

Family Court of Australia

Minister for police

Minister Susan Close

Minster Rachael Sanderson

Minister for victims rights

Council of children's rights

Police commissioner – Evidence of misconduct ignored.

Department for Child Protection Head office, western and northern teams. – found negligent.

Adelaide Prosecution – recordings of [REDACTED] stating he removed an IO without reading the evidence contained in the mothers statement.

Internal SAPOL complaints unit – stated [REDACTED] did nothing wrong.

Internal DCP complaints unit- ignored

[REDACTED] CIB – recordings of the lead detective stating the children's abuse happened years ago, it's not likely happening still ' before filing the case in 2019.

The Ombudsman Mr Wayne Lines- acted and investigated finding DCP negligent. Dcp did not interview the children or reopen the matter and closed the case.

Leading to further abuse to be committed by the father in 2022-2023 to the two remaining female children in his care [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] yrs of age as disclosed by one of the girls to her school teachers and police when she ran away Mid [REDACTED]. ( evidence of disclosures of child being chased with a taser and other forms of abuse reports available)

The mother attempted to contravene the father as the last possible option, because of how much the justice system betrayed her in the past. In response the father ignored the need to respond to the dates and times contravened and published old naked photos of the mother In his affidavits to humiliate her.

The Judge assigned on the national contravention list was Justice [REDACTED]. He acknowledged the father's attempt to humiliate the mother and reprimanded the fathers actions stating if he was found to have published them for purposes of humiliating her that he would refer him to the sherif to be prosecuted. ( court orders reflect)

Justice [REDACTED], ordered that the mother file evidence in relation to the child that had run away.

However, shortly after the mother filed all the requested evidence, Justice [REDACTED] who was not sitting on the bench due to her having Chemotherapy, returns during treatment to have the matter listed before her. Despite a clear conflict of interest, Justice [REDACTED] hears the matter and had all the evidence filed by the mother which was court ordered by Justice [REDACTED] removed like it didn't exist. (Orders to reflect this)

As a result of Justice [REDACTED]'s clear need to be in control of this matter despite the conflict, the mother withdrew her application, knowing nothing good would come from a corrupt Judge.

She was silenced into submission because one mother on her own cannot fight against the corrupt unjust system that is enabling the father to weaponise it against herself and her children.

The mother remains fearful that when this process comes to an end the father will end the lives of herself and her children. After all he was recorded saying 'if I could get away with it, I'd wipe you's all out'. So far the father has followed through with every threat ever made to the mother for exposing the abuse and disturbingly, he has been enabled to weaponise a system created to protect and not cause further harm as it continues to do to them.

This family are In desperate need your support and advocacy. At very least the-mother needs to be represented in court to ensure the father does not have direct contact with the children or mother throughout the remainder of this abuse of process.

Legal aid is refusing aid as it is a civil matter and there are no provisions in the civil proceedings to protect them from being in contact with their abuser.

Evidence in relation to the contents of this document can be provided upon request.

Due to the laws that prohibit the mother speaking on these issues this experience has been written as a third person.

Regards,