

1. Causes of DV include anger, passion, emotions, motive and government lies and propaganda. With the current biased treatment of men in this country men have a lot more motive than women to murder under DV circumstances. And I can guarantee if women were treated like men are treated in the family courts, they would kill a lot more men than men currently kill women. Motive cannot be ignored when trying to prevent DV murders. To ignore motive is just politically correct rubbish. When a murder is being investigated detectives start with motive to find the killer. We need to understand what the motives are and remove them. Again, women will kill more often if the motive is there, to prove that you only have to look at the stats for DV killings for personal gain. Women kill more often in DV situations for personal gain. Motive cannot be ignored.

Another thing this "Royal Commission" will conveniently ignore is the country of origin of the perpetrators of DV killings, I will discuss this further later on in this submission.

The government also encourages DV and abuse from women towards men, it is actually accepted, men are ignored, not listened to and reports not taken from SAPOL. Women are free to abuse men in this country and when men react or defend themselves the woman instantly becomes the victim in her eyes and the governments, the government is enabling abusive behaviour till the point when a man reacts, then they get involved.

Sayings we have in this country indicate who is actually the controlling sex in the relationship such as happy wife, happy life; hell have no fury like a scorned woman; and I better ask the boss (referring to the wife). These common sayings didn't come about because men are the controlling abuse party in the relationship. Women are just as difficult, controlling, bossy, arrogant, hypocritical and abusive as men, in my experience even more so

than men and our language backs that up. So why does government pretend that this is not the case?

Drugs are also a major contributor to DV behaviour again from both men and women and needs to be looked at unbiasedly.

2. To prevent DV we need education particularly towards women, lesbian relationships are the most violent in the country indicating male/female DV relationship data is incorrect and has a severe biased approach collecting data. If male/female relationship DV data was correct lesbian relationships should have very little domestic violence in them, that is far from the truth, why? Will the Royal Commission into DV look into this massive discrepancy in the data or will it be conveniently ignored.

Country of origin of the perpetrators of DV murders also need to be looked at, it is very easy for a woman to perceive themselves as a victim and say so in a survey, but DV murder stats don't lie or perceive themselves as victims. We are relying on women's own perception if they are a victim or not, women actually think they are victims if a man reacts to violence instigated by the woman. [REDACTED] the man reacts to violence and abuse which is clearly in the footage and is still arrested, charged and convicted, this is so wrong and is not helping the woman with her behaviour at all.

3. The existing initiatives are directed at men being the overwhelming perpetrator of DV. When women hit out first, they are the perpetrators not the man reacting or defending himself. We need to teach women to stop hitting men as well as stop men hitting women and domestic violence numbers will drop dramatically. The other issue is perception women actually perceive themselves as victims when they are the instigator of the violence. Society not only enables this but also allows the perpetrator to claim they are the victim. Not saying men don't hit out first but a large barrier to preventing domestic violence is

pretending women don't hit out first, lesbian relationship domestic violence data back this up this fact.

The country of origin is information government refuse to acknowledge that could identify cultural risks. Katrina Hilyard's office refused to look into whether the country of origin was a factor when it comes to domestic violence murders (██████████). SAPOL refused to provide me this (██████████) information on a Freedom of Information request, *asking for the country of origin of the last 20 perpetrators of domestic violence murder in this state*, they not only refused to provide the information, they also refused to provide an internal review against the Freedom of Information Act. Without doing an internal review I can't apply for an external review. **The country of origin of the perpetrators of domestic violence murders are being suppressed what is the Royal Commission going to do about that?** Is the Royal Commission really about stopping women getting murdered? If that is the case the country of origin of the perpetrators needs to be looked at and either pinpointed as a problem area or dismissed as a problem area. Are we importing extreme domestic violence? This information shouldn't be getting suppressed, we shouldn't be spending three million dollars to ignore possible problem areas. It's not my job to be looking into the country of origin of the perpetrators, that is what Katrina Hilyard's office (minister for women and prevention of DV) should be doing and the Royal Commission into DV.

4. SAPOL have Domestic Violence Disclosure scheme to identify perpetrators. Problem is they ignore the fact that the same women over and over again are the victims in relationship after relationship, indicating that maybe she is problem. We need to use common sense when looking at this issue, sure the Domestic Violence Disclosure Scheme is a good initiative but common sense needs to be used also and when the same woman is the

victim time and time again with different men, the woman needs to be looked at and helped if needed to manage problematic behaviour. Not just pretend that the man is to blame and women do no wrong.

5. Initiatives need to be used properly with common sense, logic and no bias. We cannot let women be victims when all signs are saying they are the problem. Not saying men aren't as well in some cases but lesbian relationships prove women are just as problematic. Why doesn't the male/female relationship DV data concur with lesbian relationship DV data?
6. You can do all the interventions you like but just like intervention orders women sneak around them when they decide they aren't victims anymore and they want the want their partner back. Most of this domestic violence is a toxic mutual abuse that only men get pulled up on, unless we talk honestly and openly nothing will change, we can go on and on with the narrative that women go back because of control but in reality, most go back because they don't want anyone else to have their partner. This control excuse has taken responsibility away from women to protect their own well-being. I know a woman who told me she used to go back to her abusive ex because she didn't want anyone else to have him, she also admitted she behaved just as badly as him but only he was arrested and had consequences for his actions. Ignoring women go back scared to lose their partner and ignoring mutual violence and punishing men only is only fixing half the problem.
7. SAPOL don't take reports from men, that is the major barrier in preventing DV. It took me 12 months of fighting through 3 government departments to get a report taken when I was assaulted by my ex-partner out the front of my house with the neighbours witnessing. I was told by SAPOL 'sounds like the situation is under control that is not something we would take a report on'. After 12 months of fighting SAPOL took a report, only

to tell me ■ weeks later she won't be charged because it is too long to speak to witnesses.

8. The truth and remove all bias perceptions, women are instigating a lot of violence and are convinced they are the perpetrators when a man reacts to that violence, that is not helping women, it is enabling them. How can a woman ever have a stable relationship believing she is the victim when she is actually the instigator of the violence? There is already plenty of crisis response out there for women, the problem is there is no crisis support out there for men because government use the excuse women are the overwhelming victims of domestic violence to justify this disgusting discriminatory thinking. Government gets around this discrimination by setting up DV shelters and support as government funded charities who are exempt from the Equal Opportunity Act. Lesbian relationships are more violent than male/female relationships which is impossible if you look at the data and needs to be addressed. Australian Bureau of Statistics not only refuse to address this outlier (outliers need to be removed or explained) but they also blocked my email. Men don't get crisis response support based on the lie that women are the overwhelming victims of domestic violence which lesbian relationships prove is a lie. Suicides are overwhelmingly men, going by the craziness of not helping male domestic violence victims because women are overwhelming victims of domestic violence, logic would say suicide funding should only be for men.
9. Unbiased truth and common sense.
10. Unbiased policing, truth and common sense. SAPOL need to take reports from both women and men currently SAPOL turn men away when they try and report domestic violence.
11. Remove balance of probability and replace with beyond Reasonable Doubt the justice system needs to be a reputable not a kangaroo Court lesbian relationships domestic violence data

prove women are just as problematic as men so why doesn't say pole and the justice system show this

12. None of my responses are already in place, we don't live in reality anymore we pretend women are perfect and don't lie, yet women's prisons are full. Why?
13. Victims will stay victims if you make fancy terms such as victim-survivors for them, help them move on, not hold them back. 47 men kill themselves every week in this country and never one mention if DV and abusive relationships are factors in these deaths. The bias needs to stop, a lot of men don't get to be victim-survivors.
14. Women already have all the support in the world when it comes to domestic violence its men who are lacking the support, it is men who are ending their own lives at the epidemic rates. If women were killing themselves at the same rate as men, we would have a Royal Commission into that as well and of course it would be found that it was men's fault There's plenty of support for women just not for men, government refuse to acknowledge men are victims even with the epidemic suicide rate. Women can be violent nasty and vindictive and lesbian relationship DV data show this.

I have my doubts that the Royal Commission into Domestic Violence will be looking into this matter unbiasedly and therefore nothing will change. You people will be asking the same people from the DV industry who have been advising feminist minded government enquiries such as this for 40 years or more and nothing has changed. Billions upon billions spent with the same narratives and bias and you people keep doing things the same way over and over again. Making the same mistake that women aren't actually perfect and are part of the problem.

Already the Royal Commission has shown that they aren't interested in getting to the bottom of DV. I sent an enquiry by email to the

people there at the Royal Commission into DV and they refused to answer the question. I asked what powers will the Royal Commission into DV have to get information from departments like SAPOL who are suppressing important information such as the country of origin of the perpetrators of DV murder. The [REDACTED] is my unanswered email to the Royal Commission into DV, it seems the Royal Commission into DV isn't interested in this important data either or answering questions they have no intention of looking into.

Will the Royal Commission into DV investigate-

1. The discrepancy between male/female relationship DV data and lesbian relationship DV data. And the fact lesbian relationships should have very little DV in them going by the male/female data, indicating women are just as problematic as men but is getting ignored.
2. If country of origin is a factor in DV murders in this state? In Sweden they have a term for this type of violence, it does not come under the DV umbrella and is called Honour Based Violence (HBV).
3. If DV and abuse is leading to high levels of suicide?
4. Is drugs and alcohol addiction a major contributor to DV and is this a DV epidemic or a drugs and alcohol epidemic?
5. That SAPOL are turning men away trying to report DV.
6. What are the motives into DV murder and how to eliminate them? Are the bias Family Courts a factor? Remembering women kill more for personal gain, showing women are just as capable of DV murder if they have motive.
7. Why do male victims have no support?
8. Are women perceiving themselves as victims when they are actually the perpetrators? Are instigating the violence by hitting out first? Are women then getting enabled by the DV industry to continue their behaviour?

9. How can we prevent victims going back to the perpetrator if they are worried about losing that person to someone else? This is a reality, if you people like it or not and needs to be looked at, you cannot blame everything on men.
10. Is the balance of probability to judge DV in court really a good thing if DV is such a serious offence? Balance of probability is a civil law not used for criminal offences. Have we turned DV courts into a joke by taking away beyond reasonable doubt? Bear in mind balance of probability is being used with data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics which says men are the overwhelming preparators of DV, which is obviously flawed data with a massive outlier that hasn't been explained or removed, lesbian relationships prove the data is flawed. The balance of probability would actually say if women are violent in lesbian relationships that women would be just as violent in male/female relationships.