

The Royal Commission into DV needs to be impartial and unbiased. Please answer these questions and address them in the public hearings. They are very logical and legitimate questions. It seems to me government, the Office of Women and the DV industry don't really want to resolve DV. Especially the billion-dollar DV industry, should we really be listening to people profiting off of DV??? Any organisation profiting from DV should be listened to warily, to resolve the issue of DV is not in the DV industries interests.

If these questions are not answered or addressed in the public hearing in November, I will be starting a petition to get them addressed. A Royal Commission is supposed to be done for the public, the purpose of a Royal Commission is not to promote government narratives and agendas.

1. The discrepancy between male/female relationship DV data and lesbian relationship DV data. And the fact lesbian relationships should have very little DV in them going by the male/female data, this is far from the case, indicating women are just as problematic as men but it is getting ignored. Can this be explained?
2. Is country of origin a factor in DV murders in this state? In Sweden they have a term for this type of violence, it does not come under the DV umbrella and is called Honour Based Violence (HBV).
3. Is DV and abuse is leading to high levels of suicide in men?
4. Is drugs and alcohol addiction a major contributor to DV and is this a DV epidemic or a drugs and alcohol epidemic? Should women going to DV shelters be drug tested if we really want to help them and stop the cycle.
5. SAPOL are turning 70% of men away trying to report DV. They do the same to women in much less numbers. This problem is being ignored because it affects men in much greater numbers. To fix this problem would affect statistics,

narratives and the agenda having all them reports from men. Will this issue finally be fixed so SAPOL actually take reports on DV? Which is a requirement that they are supposed to do.

6. What are the motives into DV murder and how to eliminate them? Are the bias Family Courts a factor? Remembering women kill more for personal gain, showing women are just as capable of DV murder if they have motive.
7. Why do male victims have no support?
8. Are women perceiving themselves as victims when they are actually the perpetrators? Are they instigating the violence by hitting out first? Are women then getting enabled by the DV industry to continue their behaviour, by treating them as victims? Research has shown that women instigate violence 70% of the time, this is explained away by naming it “situational violence” by the DV industry. If we stop women hitting men, DV will decrease.
9. How can we prevent victims going back to the perpetrator if they are worried about losing that person to someone else? This is a reality, if you people like it or not and needs to be looked at, you cannot blame everything on men.
10. Is the balance of probability to judge DV in court really a good thing if DV is such a serious offence? Balance of probability is a civil law not used for criminal offences. Have we turned DV courts into a joke by taking away beyond reasonable doubt? Bear in mind balance of probability is being used with data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics which says men are the overwhelming preparators of DV, which is obviously flawed data with a massive outlier that hasn't been explained or removed, lesbian relationships prove the data is flawed. The balance of probability would actually say if women are violent in lesbian relationships that women would be just as violent in male/female relationships.

11. Women kill kids in equal numbers as men in this country, so why are we pretending violence is gendered? Children can't defend themselves like a man can, if women were stronger than men women would kill more men too. This proves violence is not gendered, strength might be, but violence certainly isn't. If violence was gendered women would kill much less kids, then men, why is this not the case?
12. What is the Royal Commission going to do about government departments suppressing information? SAPOL refused to release information to me through Freedom of Information, not only that SAPOL refused me an internal review, therefore an external review cannot be done either. I was asking for the country of origin of the last 20 perpetrators of DV murders, which is very relevant considering we are spending 3 million dollars on a Royal Commission into DV. The Royal Commission should be requesting this information!
13. Is the \$5000 leaving DV payment working? How many women are returning after the payment to the same man? How many times are they receiving the payment to leave the same man? How many times is a woman receiving the payment to leave different men? If women are out there receiving the payment for leaving multiple men, could this indicate that the woman is actually the problem, in those circumstances?
14. Why is government so intent on blaming DV issues on men, claiming DV is gendered? When lesbian relationships and child murders indicate that is not true.

██████████

██████████